



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,242	12/09/2004	Isamu Takahara	121238	1263
25944 7590 08/15/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				
EXAMINER				
BERGIN, JAMES S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3641				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/15/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/509,242

Applicant(s)

TAKAHARA ET AL.

Examiner

James S. Bergin

Art Unit

3641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James S. Bergin.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Jackson (703-838-9741).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: not discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Jackson called to inform the examiner that a response to the office action of 3/16/2007 and the interview of 7/12/2007 had been filed. The examiner confirmed that the amendment had been received and has a filing date of 7/17/2007. Mr. Jackson indicated that dependent claims 23-25 had been retained rather than being canceled, contrary to what had been previously indicated by Mr. Jackson in the interview of 7/12/2007.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James S. Bergin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.